



AF 12FL
1631

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q63915

Samir Kumar BRAHMACHARI, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/820,843

Group Art Unit: 1631

Confirmation No.: 7045

Examiner: Carolyn L. SMITH

Filed: March 30, 2001

For: A COMPUTATIONAL METHOD FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF CANDIDATE
PROTEINS USEFUL AS ANTI-INFECTIVES

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on
April 14, 2005 between Examiner Carolyn L. Smith and attorney for Applicant Mark L.

Hayman.

REMARKS

The Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was dated April 19, 2005.

During the interview, the following was discussed:

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None
2. Identification of claims discussed: 20-24, 26-30, 32, and 33.
3. Identification of art discussed: None
4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: Examiner indicated that a

"displaying step" in claim 20 might overcome the section 101 rejection. With respect to the

interview
summary
OK
CLS 12/13/05



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q63915

Samir Kumar BRAHMACHARI, et al.

Appln. No.: 09/820,843

Group Art Unit: 1631

Confirmation No.: 7045

Examiner: Carolyn L. SMITH

Filed: March 30, 2001

For: A COMPUTATIONAL METHOD FOR THE IDENTIFICATION OF CANDIDATE
PROTEINS USEFUL AS ANTI-INFECTIVES

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the telephonic interview
conducted on July 21, 2005 between Examiner Smith and Applicants attorney Mark Hayman:

REMARKS

An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was dated July 25, 2005:

During the interview, the following was discussed:

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None.
2. Identification of claims discussed: Claim 20.
3. Identification of art discussed: None.
4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: proposed amendments to claim
20.
5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: None.

*Interview
summary OK
CLS 12/13/05*